# A measure extension theorem in $\ell$ -groups

Alžbeta Michalíková

Faculty of Natural Sciences Matej Bel University Tajovského 40 974 01 Banská Bystrica Slovakia e-mail: michalik@fpv.umb.sk

#### Abstract

In the paper [2] there was proved that if H is a  $\sigma$ -continuous, orthomodular lattice, A is its orthocomplemented sublattice,  $\mu : A \to \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ is a probability measure that is subadditive and S(A) is the  $\sigma$ -complete orthocomplemented sublattice of Hgenerated by A, then there exist exactly one subadditive probability measure  $\overline{\mu} : S(A) \to \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  that is an extension of  $\mu$ . In the paper we prove the theorem for  $\ell$ -group valued measures that are subadditive. Similar problems were studied for example in [1] and [4].

**Keywords:** Measure, measure extension, orthomodular and orthocomplemented lattices.

#### 1 Basic notions

In this section we introduce the basic notions which are necessary for understanding of the studying problems.

Let H be a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice. Then H is called a  $\sigma$ -continuous, if  $x_n \nearrow x, y_n \nearrow y$  implies  $x_n \land y_n \nearrow x \land y$  and  $x_n \searrow x, y_n \searrow y$ implies  $x_n \lor y_n \searrow x \lor y$ .

A  $\sigma$ -complete lattice H with the least element 0 and the greatest element 1 is called orthocomplemented, if there is a mapping  $\perp: H \to H$  such that the following properties are satisfied:

- 1.  $(a^{\perp})^{\perp} = a$  for each  $a \in H$ .
- 2. If  $a \leq b$  then  $b^{\perp} \leq a^{\perp}$ .
- 3.  $a \vee a^{\perp} = 1$  for each  $a \in H$ .

An orthocomplemented lattice is called to be an orthomodular lattice if the following condition is satisfied:

4. If  $a \leq b$  then  $b = a \lor (b \land a^{\perp})$ .

Two elements  $a, b \in H$  are called orthogonal if  $a \leq b^{\perp}$  or  $b \leq a^{\perp}$ .

A subset A of an orthocomplemented lattice H is called an orthocomplemented sublattice of H if  $a, b \in A$  implies  $a \lor b \in A$ ,  $a^{\perp} \in A$ .

Let G be a complete  $\ell$ -group, i.e. a structure  $(G, +, \leq)$  such that (G, +) is an Abelian group,  $(G, \leq)$  is a complete lattice (i.e. any upper bounded subset of G has the supremum) and  $a \leq b$  implies  $a + c \leq b + c$ for any  $c \in G$ . Let 0 be the neutral element of G (i.e. a + 0 = a for any  $a \in G$ ),  $G^+ = \{a \in G; a \geq 0\}$ . Denote by  $\infty$  an ideal element and  $G^* = G^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ , where  $a + \infty = \infty + a = \infty + \infty = \infty$  for any  $a \in G$  and  $a \leq \infty$ ,  $\infty \leq \infty$  for any  $a \in G$ .

Let A be an orthocomplemented sublattice of an orthomodular lattice H. A mapping  $\mu$ :  $A \to G^+$  is called a measure if the following properties are satisfied:

- 1.  $\mu(0) = 0.$
- 2. If  $a_n \in A(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ , elements  $a_n$  are

L. Magdalena, M. Ojeda-Aciego, J.L. Verdegay (eds): Proceedings of IPMU'08, pp. 1666–1670 Torremolinos (Málaga), June 22–27, 2008 pairwise orthogonal and  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \in A$ , then

$$\mu(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(a_n).$$

A measure  $\mu : A \to G^+$  is subadditive if  $\mu(a \lor b) \le \mu(a) + \mu(b)$  for each  $a, b \in A$ . It is easy to prove that every measure is nondecreasing and upper continuous. Recall that a measure on an orthomodular lattice need not to be subadditive.

#### 2 Construction

First we construct some auxiliary mappings important for the extension.

**Lemma 2.1** Let A be an orthocomplemented sublattice of an orthomodular lattice H. Let  $\mu : A \to G^+$  be a measure. Let  $a_n \in A$ ,  $b_n \in A$ (n = 1, 2, ...),  $a_n \nearrow a$ ,  $b_n \nearrow b$ ,  $a \le b$ . Then

$$\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(a_n) \le \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(b_n).$$

Proof. Evidently  $a_n \wedge b_m \nearrow a_n \wedge b = a_n$ , hence for each  $n \in N$  it holds  $\mu(a_n) = \bigvee_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu(a_n \wedge b_m) \leq \bigvee_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu(b_m)$  and therefore  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(a_n) \leq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(b_n)$ .

Now put

$$A^+ = \{ b \in H; \exists a_n \in A, a_n \nearrow b \}.$$
(1)

Using preceding lemma we can define a mapping  $\mu^+: A^+ \to G^*$  by the formula

$$\mu^+(b) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(a_n), \ a_n \nearrow b.$$
 (2)

Since A is an orthocomplemented sublattice of H, then  $1 \in A$  and for each  $x \in H$  there exists  $b \in A^+$  such that  $b \ge x$ . Therefore we can define a mapping  $\mu^* : H \to G^*$  by the formula

$$\mu^*(x) = \bigwedge \{\mu^+(b); b \in A^+, b \ge x, x \in H\}.$$
(3)

Similarly there can be defined  $A^-, \mu^-, \mu_*$ .

Proceedings of IPMU'08

The last step of our construction is the set

$$L = \{ x \in H; \ \mu_*(x) = \mu^*(x) \}.$$
 (4)

Later we will prove that  $L \supset S(A)$  and  $\mu^*|S(A)$  is the asked extension.

Remark 2.2 It is easy to prove that  $\mu^+, \mu^-$  are extensions of  $\mu$ ,  $\mu^+$  is upper continuous, nondecreasing and subadditive. Further  $\mu^*$  is an extension of  $\mu^+$  and it is a non-decreasing, subadditive and  $\mu^*(x) \ge \mu_*(x)$  for each  $x \in$ H.

#### 3 Main theorem

First we prove some propositions which are necessary to prove the main theorem.

**Proposition 3.1** Let  $x \in H$ ,  $y \in L$ ,  $y \leq x$ . Then  $\mu^*(x) = \mu^*(y) + \mu^*(x \wedge y^{\perp})$ .

*Proof.* Let us divide the proof to the following steps:

1. We prove that if  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in A^+$ ,  $a \leq b$ , then  $\mu^{+}(b) = \mu(a) + \mu^{+}(b \wedge a^{\perp}).$ Because  $a \leq a_n \nearrow b$ ,  $a_n \in A$ , then  $\mu(a_n) =$  $\mu(a) + \mu(a_n \wedge a^{\perp})$ . Since  $a_n \nearrow b$  then  $a_n \wedge a^{\perp} \nearrow$  $b \wedge a^{\perp}$  and therefore  $\mu^+(b) = \mu(a) + \mu^+(b \wedge a^{\perp})$ . 2. If  $b, d \in A^+$ ,  $d \le b$ , then  $\mu^+(b) \ge \mu^+(d) + \mu^+(b) \ge \mu^+(d) + \mu^+(b) \ge \mu^+(b) = \mu^+(b) \ge \mu^+(b) = \mu^+(b) = \mu^+(b) = \mu^+(b) = \mu^+(b) = \mu^+(b) = \mu^+$  $\mu^*(b \wedge d^{\perp}).$ Indeed,  $d_n \nearrow d$ ,  $d_n \in A$  and 1. imply  $\mu^+(b) =$  $\mu(d_n) + \mu^+(b \wedge d_n^\perp) \ge \mu(d_n) + \mu^*(b \wedge d^\perp)$ , which gives  $\mu^+(b) \ge \mu^+(d) + \mu^*(b \wedge d^{\perp}).$ 3. If  $b \in A^+$ ,  $c \in A^-$ ,  $c \leq b$ , then  $\mu^+(b) \geq$  $\mu^{-}(c) + \mu^{+}(b \wedge c^{\perp}).$ Take  $c_n \in A$ ,  $c_n \searrow c$ . Since  $b \land c_n \in A^+$ ,  $b \wedge c_n \leq b$  we have by 2.  $\mu^+(b) \geq \mu^+(b \wedge c_n) +$  $\mu^*(b \wedge (b \wedge c_n)^{\perp}) \ge \mu^+(b \wedge c_n) + \mu^*(b \wedge c_n^{\perp}) =$  $\mu^+(b \wedge c_n) + \mu^+(b \wedge c_n^{\perp})$ . Taking  $n \to \infty$  we ob-

$$\min \mu^+(b) \ge \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^+(b \wedge c_n) + \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^+(b \wedge c_n^{\perp}) \ge$$
$$\mu^-(c) + \mu^+(b \wedge c^{\perp}).$$

4. Let  $x \in H$ ,  $c \in A^-$ ,  $c \leq x$ . Then  $\mu^*(x) \geq \mu^-(c) + \mu^*(x \wedge c^{\perp})$ .

If  $b \in A^+$ ,  $b \ge x$ , then  $\mu^+(b) \ge \mu^-(c) + \mu^+(b \wedge c^\perp) \ge \mu^-(c) + \mu^*(x \wedge c^\perp)$  therefore  $\mu^*(x) \ge \mu^-(c) + \mu^*(x \wedge c^\perp)$ .

5. Finally we prove the statement of the Proposition.

Let  $x \in H$ ,  $y \in L$ ,  $y \leq x$ . Take  $c \leq y$ ,  $c \in A^-$ . By 4. we have  $\mu^*(x) \geq \mu^-(c) + \mu^*(x \wedge c^{\perp}) \geq$   $\begin{array}{l} \mu^{-}(c) + \mu^{*}(x \wedge y^{\perp}), \text{ hence } \mu^{*}(x) - \mu^{*}(x \wedge y^{\perp}) \geq \\ \mu^{-}(c). \text{ Therefore } \mu^{*}(x) - \mu^{*}(x \wedge y^{\perp}) \geq \mu_{*}(y) = \\ \mu^{*}(y). \end{array}$ 

The opposite inequality follows from the subadditivity of  $\mu^*$ .

## **Proposition 3.2** If $y \in L$ , then $y^{\perp} \in L$ .

Proof. For each  $b \in A^+$  it holds  $\mu^+(b) + \mu^-(b^\perp) = \mu^*(b \vee b^\perp) = \mu(1)$ . Let  $b \ge y$ . Then  $b^\perp \le y^\perp$  and therefore  $\mu(1) = \mu^+(b) + \mu^-(b) \le \mu^+(b) + \mu_*(y^\perp)$ . Since this inequality holds for arbitrary  $b \in A^+$ , then it holds also for infimum and therefore  $\mu(1) - \mu_*(y^\perp) \le \mu^*(y)$ . If we put x = 1 into the Proposition 3.1, then we get  $\mu(1) = \mu^*(1) = \mu^*(y) + \mu^*(1 \land y^\perp) = \mu^*(y) + \mu^*(y^\perp)$ . Hence  $\mu^*(y) + \mu_*(y^\perp) \ge \mu(1) = \mu^*(y) + \mu^*(y^\perp)$ , which implies  $\mu_*(y^\perp) \ge \mu^*(y^\perp)$ . Opposite inequality follows from Remark 2.2.

**Definition 3.3** An  $\ell$ -group G is called a Dedekind complete if any upper bounded sequence of elements from G has a supremum in G.

**Definition 3.4** Dedekind complete  $\ell$ -group G is called to be of countable type, if to any bounded set  $A \subset G$  there exists such a countable subset  $B \subset A$  that

$$\bigwedge A = \bigwedge B.$$

**Proposition 3.5** Let G be a Dedekind complete  $\ell$ -group of countable type. Let  $\mu^*$  be the subadditive mapping defined on the lattice H generated by  $\mu^+$ . Then for each  $x \in H$ there exists bounded double sequence  $a_{i,j} \searrow 0$ ,  $(j \to \infty, i = 1, 2, ...)$  such that for each  $\varphi : N \to N$  there exists  $b \in A^+$ ,  $b \ge x$  and it holds

$$\mu^*(x) + \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} \ge \mu^+(b).$$

*Proof.* Let G be a Dedekind complete  $\ell$ group of countable type. Then there exists a sequence  $(b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of elements of the set  $A^+$ such that  $\mu^*(x) = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\mu^+(b_n), b_n \ge x, b_n \in A^+, n = 1, 2, \ldots\}.$  Put  $c_1 = b_1, c_2 = b_1 \wedge b_2, c_n = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n b_i$  then  $c_n \geq c_{n+1}, c_n \in A^+$  and  $b_n \geq c_n \geq x$ . Therefore  $\mu^+(b_n) \geq \mu^+(c_n) \geq \mu^*(x)$ . Hence  $\mu^+(b_n) - \mu^*(x) \geq \mu^+(c_n) - \mu^*(x) \geq 0$ . Define  $a_{i,j} = \mu^+(c_j) - \mu^*(x), (j \to \infty, i = 1, 2, ...)$ , then  $0 = \bigvee_j \{\mu^+(b_j) - \mu^*(x)\} \geq \bigvee_j \{\mu^+(c_j) - \mu^*(x)\}$ . Therefore  $a_{i,j} \searrow 0$   $(j \to \infty, i = 1, 2, ...)$ . Let  $\varphi : N \to N$ . Then  $\bigvee_{i=1}^\infty a_{i,\varphi(i)} \geq a_{i,\varphi(i)} = \mu^+(c_{\varphi(i)}) - \mu^*(x)$ . Put  $b = c_{(\varphi(i))}$  then holds following inequality  $\mu^*(x) + \bigvee_{i=1}^\infty a_{i,\varphi(i)} \geq \mu^+(b)$ .

**Proposition 3.6** Let G be Dedekind complete  $\ell$ -group. Then the following assertion holds:

Let for each  $n \in N$  exists double bounded sequence  $(a_{n,i,j})_{i,j}$  of elements of the set G such that  $a_{n,i,j} \searrow 0$ ,  $(j \to \infty, i = 1, 2, ...)$ . Then for each  $a \in G$ , a > 0 there exists double bounded sequence  $(a_{i,j})_{i,j}$  such that sequence  $a_{n,i,j} \searrow 0$ ,  $(j \to \infty, i = 1, 2, ...)$  and at the same time  $a \land (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i,\varphi(n+i)}) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)}$  for each  $\varphi : N \to N$ .

Proposition 3.6 is called Fremlin Theorem. The proof can be find in [3] (see Proposition 3.2.4).

**Definition 3.7** Dedekind complete  $\ell$ -group Gis called to be weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive if for any bounded double sequence  $(a_{i,j})$  such that  $a_{i,j} \searrow 0 \ (j \to \infty, i = 1, 2, ...)$  it is

$$\bigwedge_{\varphi \in N \to N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} = 0.$$

**Proposition 3.8** Let G be a Dedekind complete, weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive  $\ell$ -group of countable type. Let H be a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice. Let  $\mu^* : H \to G^*$  be a mapping satisfying the condition (3). Let L be the set satisfying the condition (4). Let  $z_n \in L$   $(n = 1, 2, ...), z_n \nearrow z$ ,  $(or \ z_n \searrow z \ resp.), z \in H$ . Then  $z \in L$  and  $\mu^*(z_n) \nearrow \mu^*(z)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z_n \nearrow z$ . Then  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(z_n) \le \mu^*(z)$ and for each  $z \in H$  there exists  $y \in A^+$  such that  $y \ge z$  and certainly  $\mu^+(y) \ge \mu^*(z)$ .

Proceedings of IPMU'08

Put  $z_0 = 0$ . By Proposition 3.1  $\mu^*(z_n) - \mu^*(z_{n-1}) = \mu^*(z_n \wedge z_{n-1}^{\perp}), n = 1, 2, \dots$ From Proposition 3.5 follows that for every  $n \in N$  there exists bounded double sequence  $(a_{n,i,j})_{i,j}$  such that for each  $\varphi : N \to N$ there exists  $b_n \in A^+, b_n \geq z_n \wedge z_{n-1}^{\perp}$  and  $\mu^*(z_n \wedge z_{n-1}^{\perp}) \geq \mu^+(b_n) - \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i,\varphi(n+i)}$ . Put  $y_n = y \wedge (\bigvee_{i=1}^n b_i)$ . Then  $y_n \in A^+, y_n \leq y_{n+1}$ and  $y_n \leq y$ . Therefore  $\mu^*(z_1) - \mu^*(z_0) = \mu^*(z_1 \wedge z_0^{\perp}) \geq \mu^+(y_1) - \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{1,i,\varphi(i+1)}$ . Similarly  $\mu^*(z_2) = \mu^*(z_1) + \mu^*(z_2 \wedge z_1^{\perp}) \geq \mu^+(y_1) - \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{2,i,\varphi(i+2)}$ .

By induction we get:

$$u^*(z_n) \ge \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^+(y_k) - \sum_{k=1}^n \bigvee_{k=1}^\infty a_{k,i,\varphi(i+k)}.$$

Hence

1

$$\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(z_n) \ge \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^+(y_k) - \\ - \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i,\varphi(n+i)} \ge \\ \ge \mu^+(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i,\varphi(n+i)}.$$

We assumed that there exists  $y \in A^+$  such that  $y \ge \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ . Then  $\mu^+(y) \ge \mu^+(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n)$ and also  $\mu^+(y) \ge \mu^+(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n) - \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(z_n)$ . Then by Proposition 3.6

$$\mu^{+}(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} y_{n}) - \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^{*}(z_{n}) \leq \\ \leq \mu^{+}(y) \wedge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{n,i,\varphi(n+i)} \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)}$$

for each  $\varphi : N \to N$ . But  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n \ge z$  and either  $\mu^+(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n) \ge \mu^*(z)$ . Since *G* is weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive  $\ell$ -group, it holds:

$$\mu^*(z) - \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(z_n) \le \bigwedge_{\varphi: N \to N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} = 0.$$

Therefore  $\mu^*(z_n) \nearrow \mu^*(z)$ . Further  $\mu_*(z) \le \mu^*(z) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(z_n) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_*(z_n) \le \mu_*(z)$ , hence  $z \in L$ .

The second part of Proposition (for nonincreasing sequences) follows from Proposition 3.2 and the first part of proof.

**Proposition 3.9** Let G be a Dedekind complete, weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive  $\ell$ -group of countable type. Let  $\mu^* : H \to G^*$  be a mapping satisfying the condition (3). Let L be the set satisfying the condition (4). Then  $\overline{\mu} = \mu^* | L$  is additive mapping, i.e. for each  $x, y \in L$  holds  $\mu^*(x \lor y) = \mu^*(x) + \mu^*(y)$ .

*Proof.* First we prove that for each *c*, *d* ∈ *A*<sup>−</sup> it hold  $\mu^{-}(c \lor d) = \mu^{-}(c) + \mu^{-}(d)$ . By Proposition 3.1 it holds:  $1 - \mu^{-}(d) = \mu^{+}(d^{\perp}) = \mu^{*}(d^{\perp}) = \mu^{-}(c) + \mu^{*}(d^{\perp} \land c^{\perp}) = \mu^{-}(c) + \mu^{+}((d \lor c)^{\perp}) = \mu^{-}(c) + 1 - \mu^{-}(d \lor c)$ .

Now let  $x, y \in H$ ,  $x \leq y^{\perp}$ . Since G is Dedekind complete, weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive  $\ell$ -group of countable type, then there exist  $a_{i,j} \searrow 0$ ,  $b_{i,j} \searrow 0 \ (j \to \infty, i = 1, 2, ...)$  such that for each  $\varphi : N \to N$  there exist  $c, d \in A^-, c \leq x$ ,  $d \leq y$  and it hold  $\mu_*(x) - \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} < \mu^-(c)$ ,

$$\begin{split} \mu_*(y) &- \bigvee_{i=1}^{\mathbb{V}} b_{i,\varphi(i)} < \mu^-(d) \ . \\ \text{Because } c \leq x \leq y^\perp \leq d^\perp, \text{ then } \mu^*(x \lor y) \leq \\ \mu^*(x) &+ \mu^*(y) = \mu_*(x) + \mu_*(y) < \mu^-(c) + \\ \mu^-(d) &+ \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} + \bigvee_{i=1}^{\mathbb{V}} b_{i,\varphi(i)} = \mu^-(c \lor d) + \\ \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} + \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i,\varphi(i)} \leq \mu_*(x \lor y) + \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\varphi(i)} + \\ \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i,\varphi(i)}. \end{split}$$

**Proposition 3.10** Let G be a Dedekind complete, weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive  $\ell$ -group of countable type. Let A be an orthocomplemented sublattice of an orthomodular  $\sigma$ -complete lattice H. Let S(A) be the  $\sigma$ -complete orthocomplemented lattice generated by A, M(A)be the least set over A closed under monotone sequences. Then S(A) = M(A).

*Proof.* Since S(A) is  $\sigma$ -complete orthocomplemented lattice then  $M(A) \subset S(A)$ . On the other side, let  $x \in A$  be an arbitrary but fixed element. Denote  $P = \{y \in M, x \lor y \in M\}$ .

Proceedings of IPMU'08

Evidently  $A \subset P$  and P is closed under monotone sequences, therefore  $M \subset P$ . Hence for each  $x \in A$  and each  $y \in M$  it hold  $x \lor y \in M$ . Now let us take a fixed  $y \in M$  and put  $R = \{x \in M, x \lor y \in M\}$ . Then also R is closed under monotone sequences,  $A \subset R$  and  $M \subset R$  therefore M is closed under the operation  $\lor$ . Because for each  $x \in A$  holds that  $x^{\perp} \in A$ , it is easy to prove, that if  $y \in M$  also  $y^{\perp} \in M$ . Hence M(A) is the  $\sigma$ -complete orthocomplemented lattice generated by A and  $S(A) \subset M(A)$ .

**Theorem 3.11** Let G be a Dedekind complete, weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive  $\ell$ -group of countable type. Let H be a  $\sigma$ -continuous, orthomodular lattice, A its orthocomplemented sublattice and  $\mu : A \to G^+$  a subadditive measure. Let S(A) be the  $\sigma$ -complete orthocomplemented sublattice generated by A. Then there is exactly one subadditive measure  $\overline{\mu}$ :  $S(A) \to G^*$  that is an extension of  $\mu$ .

*Proof.* Existence. Evidently  $S(A) = M(A) \subset L$ . Put  $\overline{\mu} = \mu^* | S(A)$ . By Propositions 3.8 and 3.9  $\overline{\mu}$  is a measure.

Uniqueness. Let  $\nu : S(A) \to G^*$  be a measure,  $\nu | A = \mu$ . Put  $K = \{x \in S(A); \overline{\mu}(x) = \nu(x)\}$ . Evidently  $A \subset K$ , K is closed under monotone sequences. Therefore  $S(A) = M(A) \subset K$ .

## 4 Conclusion

We proved the extension theorem for  $\ell$ -group valued measures being moreover subadditive and that are defined on an orthocomplemented sublattice of  $\sigma$ -continuous orthomodular lattice.

Another approach has been realized by Vrábelová in [5], where the assumption that  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ - additive is substituted by assumption that  $\mu$  is exhaustive.

## Acknowledgements

The support of the grant VEGA 1/0539/08 is kindly announced.

## References

- Georgescu, G.: An extension theorem for submeasures on Łukasiewicz-Moisil algebras. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 158, pages 1782-1790, 2007.
- [2] Riečan, B.: The measure extension theorem for subadditive probability measures in orthomodular σ-continuous lattices. *Commen. Mathematiceae Univ. Carol.* 20, pages 309-316, 1979.
- [3] Riečan, B., Neubrunn, T.: Integral, Measure, and Ordering Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht and Ister Science, Bratislava, 1997.
- [4] Vrábel, P.: The measures extension theorem for subadditive measures in  $\sigma$ -continuous logics. *Math. Slovaca* 31, pages 141-147, 1981.
- [5] Vrábelová, M.: On the extension of subadditive measures in lattice ordered groups. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, volume 57, 1, pages 95-103, 2007. ISSN 0011-4642 (Print)