

Maličký-Riečan entropy on IF-dynamical systems

Marek Ďurica

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Natural Sciences
Matej Bel University
Tajovského 40
SK-974 01 Banská Bystrica
durica@fpv.umb.sk

Abstract

In this paper we study dynamical systems based on IF-events (see [1]). We define a special type of the notion of the entropy on this systems and its Maličký-Riečan modification (see [12]).

Keywords: IF-event, IF-dynamical system, IF-partition, Maličký-Riečan entropy.

1 Introduction

We start with classical dynamical systems $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, P, T)$, where (Ω, \mathcal{S}, P) is a probability space and $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is a measure preserving map, i.e. $T^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $P(T^{-1}(A)) = P(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{S}$. The entropy of the dynamical system is defined as follows (see [11], [12]). Consider measurable partition $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$, where $A_i \in \mathcal{S}; i = 1, \dots, k, A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset; i \neq j, \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i = \Omega$. Its entropy is the number

$$H(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(P(A_i)),$$

where $\varphi(x) = -x \log x$, if $x > 0$, and $\varphi(0) = 0$. If $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_l\}$ are two measurable partitions, then $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \{T^{-1}(A_1), \dots, T^{-1}(A_k)\}$ and $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B} = \{A \cap B; A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ are measurable partitions, too. It can be proved that

there exists

$$h(\mathcal{A}, T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}(\mathcal{A}) \right).$$

The Kolmogorov-Sinaj entropy $h(T)$ of $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, P, T)$ is defined as the supremum

$$h(T) = \sup \{h(\mathcal{A}, T); \mathcal{A} \text{ is a measurable partition}\}.$$

The aim of the Kolmogorov-Sinaj entropy was to distinguish non-isomorphic dynamical systems. Two dynamical systems with different entropies cannot be isomorphic.

The notion of the entropy has been extended using fuzzy partitions instead of set partitions (see [11], [12], [2], [3]). Let \mathcal{T} be a tribe of fuzzy sets on Ω , $m : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a state on this tribe and a mapping $\tau : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is given satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) If $f \in \mathcal{T}$, then $\tau(f) \in \mathcal{T}$ and $m(f) = m(\tau(f))$.
- (ii) If $f, g \in \mathcal{T}$ and $f + g \leq 1$, then $\tau(f + g) = \tau(f) + \tau(g)$.

Then a triplet (\mathcal{T}, m, τ) is called fuzzy dynamical system. Fuzzy partition is a set of functions $\mathcal{A} = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \subset \mathcal{T}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k f_i = 1$. Then we define its entropy

$$H(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(m(f_i)) \quad (1)$$

and the conditional entropy

$$H(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l m(g_j) \varphi \left(\frac{m(f_i g_j)}{m(g_j)} \right), \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \{g_1, \dots, g_l\}$ is a fuzzy partition, too. Further

$$h(\mathcal{A}, \tau) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i(\mathcal{A}) \right),$$

and, if $G \subset \mathcal{T}$ is an arbitrary non-empty set, then

$$h_G(\tau) = \sup \{ h(\mathcal{A}, \tau); \mathcal{A} \text{ is a fuzzy partition, } \mathcal{A} \subset G \}$$

is an entropy (Kolmogorov-Sinaj type) of the fuzzy dynamical system (\mathcal{T}, m, τ) .

In [5] there was defined a special type of the entropy of dynamical systems based on IF-events. We start with a measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{S}) . By an IF-event (see [1]) we consider a pair $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ of \mathcal{S} -measurable functions $\mu_A, \nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$, such that $\mu_A + \nu_A \leq 1$. Denote by \mathcal{F} the family of all IF-events. On \mathcal{F} we define partial binary operation \oplus and binary operation \odot . Namely

$$\begin{aligned} A \oplus B &= (\mu_A, \nu_A) \oplus (\mu_B, \nu_B) = \\ &= (\mu_A + \mu_B, \nu_A + \nu_B - 1), \end{aligned}$$

whenever $\mu_A + \mu_B \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \nu_A + \nu_B - 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} A \odot B &= (\mu_A, \nu_A) \odot (\mu_B, \nu_B) = \\ &= (\mu_A \cdot \mu_B, \nu_A + \nu_B - \nu_A \cdot \nu_B). \end{aligned}$$

Further

$$A_n \nearrow A \iff \mu_{A_n} \nearrow \mu_A, \nu_{A_n} \searrow \nu_A,$$

where $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A), A_n = (\mu_{A_n}, \nu_{A_n}) \in \mathcal{F}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

Definition 1.1 A mapping $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a state on the family of all IF-events, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $m((1, 0)) = 1, m((0, 1)) = 0$;
- (ii) If $A, B, C \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A \oplus B = C$, then $m(A) + m(B) = m(C)$;
- (iii) If $A_n \in \mathcal{F} (n = 1, 2, \dots), A_n \nearrow A$, then $m(A_n) \nearrow m(A)$.

Theorem 1.2 To any state $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and a probability measure $P : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} m_\alpha(A) &= m(A) = \\ &= (1 - \alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \alpha (1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP) \end{aligned}$$

for any $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. See [8].

Definition 1.3 Let $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a state on the family of all IF-events \mathcal{F} and $\tau : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (I) If $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\tau(A) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $m(A) = m(\tau(A))$.
- (II) If $A, B, C \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A \oplus B = C$, then $\tau(C) = \tau(A) \oplus \tau(B)$.

Then a triplet (\mathcal{F}, m, τ) is called an IF-dynamical system.

Let a mapping $\tau : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be defined by $\tau(A) = \tau((\mu_A, \nu_A)) = (\mu_A \circ T, \nu_A \circ T)$. Then $(\mathcal{F}, m_\alpha, \tau)$ is an IF-dynamical system.

2 Entropy of IF-partitions

We shall consider a family of all couples of fuzzy sets

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ (f, g); f, g : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ are } \mathcal{S}\text{-measurable} \}.$$

We extend the definition of the operation \oplus and \odot from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{M} . Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{i=1}^k (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i}) &= \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_{A_i}, \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \nu_{A_i} \right) - (k - 1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and operations \oplus, \odot fulfill the commutative, associative and distributive law.

Definition 2.1 By an IF-partition we shall mean a finite collection $\mathcal{A} = \{(\mu_{A_1}, \nu_{A_1}), \dots, (\mu_{A_k}, \nu_{A_k})\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^k (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i}) = (1, 0).$$

If $\mathcal{A} = \{(\mu_{A_1}, \nu_{A_1}), \dots, (\mu_{A_k}, \nu_{A_k})\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{(\mu_{B_1}, \nu_{B_1}), \dots, (\mu_{B_l}, \nu_{B_l})\}$ are two IF-partitions, then we define

$$\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B} = \{(\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i}) \odot (\mu_{B_j}, \nu_{B_j}); \\ i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, l\}$$

and we write $\mathcal{B} \geq \mathcal{A}$ (and say \mathcal{B} is a refinement of \mathcal{A}), if there exists a partition $\{I(1), \dots, I(k)\}$ of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that

$$(\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i}) = \bigoplus_{j \in I(i)} (\mu_{B_j}, \nu_{B_j})$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proposition 2.2 If $\mathcal{A} = \{(\mu_{A_1}, \nu_{A_1}), \dots, (\mu_{A_k}, \nu_{A_k})\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{(\mu_{B_1}, \nu_{B_1}), \dots, (\mu_{B_l}, \nu_{B_l})\}$ are two IF-partitions, then $\tau(\mathcal{A}) = \{\tau((\mu_{A_1}, \nu_{A_1})), \dots, \tau((\mu_{A_k}, \nu_{A_k}))\}$ and $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B}$ are IF-partitions, too. Further $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B} \geq \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \tau((\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i})) &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^k (\mu_{A_i} \circ T, \nu_{A_i} \circ T) = \\ &= \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_{A_i} \right) \circ T, \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \nu_{A_i} - (n-1) \right) \circ T \right) = \\ &= (1 \circ T, 0 \circ T) = (1, 0), \end{aligned}$$

so $\tau(\mathcal{A}) = \{\tau((\mu_{A_1}, \nu_{A_1})), \dots, \tau((\mu_{A_k}, \nu_{A_k}))\}$ is an IF-partition. Further $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B} = \{(\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i}) \odot (\mu_{B_j}, \nu_{B_j}); i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, l\}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \bigoplus_{j=1}^l (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i}) \odot (\mu_{B_j}, \nu_{B_j}) &= \\ &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \bigoplus_{j=1}^l (\mu_{A_i} \mu_{B_j}, \nu_{A_i} + \nu_{B_j} - \nu_{A_i} \nu_{B_j}) = \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l \mu_{A_i} \mu_{B_j}, \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l \nu_{A_i} + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l \nu_{B_j} - \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. - \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l \nu_{A_i} \nu_{B_j} - (kl - 1) \right) =$$

$$= \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_{A_i} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \mu_{B_j} \right), \right.$$

$$\left. \sum_{j=1}^l \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \nu_{A_i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \nu_{B_j} \right) - \right.$$

$$\left. - \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \nu_{A_i} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^l \nu_{B_j} \right) - (kl - 1) \right) =$$

$$= (1, k(l-1) + l(k-1) -$$

$$-(l-1)(k-1) - (kl-1)) = (1, 0).$$

Finally, let us mention that $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B}$ is indexed by $\{(i, j); i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m\}$. Therefore, if we put $I(i) = \{(i, 1), \dots, (i, m)\}$, then by the equalities

$$(1, 0) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^l (\mu_{B_j}, \nu_{B_j})$$

we obtain

$$(\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i}) = (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i}) \odot (1, 0) =$$

$$= (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i}) \odot \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^l (\mu_{B_j}, \nu_{B_j}) \right) =$$

$$= \bigoplus_{j=1}^l \left((\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i}) \odot (\mu_{B_j}, \nu_{B_j}) \right) =$$

$$= \bigoplus_{(k,j) \in I(i)} \left((\mu_{A_k}, \nu_{A_k}) \odot (\mu_{B_j}, \nu_{B_j}) \right)$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, k$. It follows $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B} \geq \mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 2.3 If $\mathcal{A} = \{(\mu_{A_1}, \nu_{A_1}), \dots, (\mu_{A_k}, \nu_{A_k})\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{(\mu_{B_1}, \nu_{B_1}), \dots, (\mu_{B_l}, \nu_{B_l})\}$ are two IF-partitions, then $\mathcal{A}^b = \{\mu_{A_1}, \dots, \mu_{A_k}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}^\sharp = \{1 - \nu_{A_1}, \dots, 1 - \nu_{A_k}\}$ are fuzzy partitions, and

$$(\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B})^b = \mathcal{A}^b \vee \mathcal{B}^b, \quad (\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B})^\sharp = \mathcal{A}^\sharp \vee \mathcal{B}^\sharp,$$

$$(\tau(\mathcal{A}))^b = \tau(\mathcal{A}^b), \quad (\tau(\mathcal{A}))^\sharp = \tau(\mathcal{A}^\sharp).$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A} = \{(\mu_{A_1}, \nu_{A_1}), \dots, (\mu_{A_k}, \nu_{A_k})\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{(\mu_{B_1}, \nu_{B_1}), \dots, (\mu_{B_l}, \nu_{B_l})\}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B} &= \{(\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i}) \odot (\mu_{B_j}, \nu_{B_j}); \\ & i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, l\} = \\ &= \{(\mu_{A_i} \mu_{B_j}, \nu_{A_i} + \nu_{B_j} - \nu_{A_i} \nu_{B_j}); \\ & i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, l\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tau(\mathcal{A}) = \{(\mu_{A_i} \circ T, 1 - \nu_{A_i} \circ T); i = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

By [12]

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B})^b &= \{\mu_{A_i} \mu_{B_j}; i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, l\} = \\ &= \{\mu_{A_i}; i = 1, \dots, k\} \vee \\ & \vee \{\mu_{B_j}; j = 1, \dots, l\} = \mathcal{A}^b \vee \mathcal{B}^b \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B})^\sharp &= \{1 - \nu_{A_i} - \nu_{B_j} + \nu_{A_i} \nu_{B_j}; \\ & i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, l\} = \\ &= \{(1 - \nu_{A_i})(1 - \nu_{B_j}); i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, l\} = \\ &= \{1 - \nu_{A_i}; i = 1, \dots, k\} \vee \\ & \vee \{1 - \nu_{B_j}; j = 1, \dots, l\} = \mathcal{A}^\sharp \vee \mathcal{B}^\sharp. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau(\mathcal{A}))^b &= \{\mu_{A_i} \circ T; i = 1, \dots, k\} = \\ &= \tau(\{\mu_{A_i}; i = 1, \dots, k\}) = \tau(\mathcal{A}^b) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau(\mathcal{A}))^\sharp &= \{1 - \nu_{A_i} \circ T; i = 1, \dots, k\} = \\ &= \tau(\{1 - \nu_{A_i}; i = 1, \dots, k\}) = \tau(\mathcal{A}^\sharp). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.4 If \mathcal{A} is an IF-partition, then we define its entropy (with respect to a given state m_α)

$$H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}) = (1 - \alpha)H(\mathcal{A}^b) + \alpha H(\mathcal{A}^\sharp),$$

where H is the entropy of the fuzzy partition (see equation (1)).

Proposition 2.5 If $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_l\}$ are two IF-partitions, then

$$H_\alpha(\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B}) \leq H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}) + H_\alpha(\mathcal{B}).$$

Proof. Put for fixed $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and for all $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$

$$\lambda_j = m_\alpha(B_j), \quad x_j = \frac{m_\alpha(A_i \odot B_j)}{m_\alpha(B_j)},$$

where $m_\alpha(B_j) > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$). Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j &= \sum_{j=1}^l m_\alpha(B_j) = \\ &= m_\alpha\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^l B_j\right) = m_\alpha((1, 0)) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and φ is a concave function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(m_\alpha(A_i)) &= \varphi(m_\alpha(A_i \odot (1, 0))) = \\ &= \varphi\left(m_\alpha\left(A_i \odot \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^l B_j\right)\right)\right) = \\ &= \varphi\left(m_\alpha\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^l A_i \odot B_j\right)\right) = \\ &= \varphi\left(\sum_{j=1}^l m_\alpha(A_i \odot B_j)\right) = \\ &= \varphi\left(\sum_{j=1}^l m_\alpha(B_j) \frac{m_\alpha(A_i \odot B_j)}{m_\alpha(B_j)}\right) = \\ &= \varphi\left(\sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j x_j\right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j \varphi(x_j) = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^l m_\alpha(B_j) \varphi(x_j) \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(m_\alpha(A_i)) \geq \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l m_\alpha(B_j) \varphi(x_j) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l m_\alpha(A_i \odot B_j) \log \frac{m_\alpha(A_i \odot B_j)}{m_\alpha(B_j)} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l \varphi(m_\alpha(A_i \odot B_j)) - \\
&- \sum_{j=1}^l \varphi(m_\alpha(B_j)) \sum_{i=1}^k m_\alpha(A_i \odot B_j) = \\
&= H_\alpha(\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B}) - H_\alpha(\mathcal{B}).
\end{aligned}$$

So we have $H_\alpha(\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B}) \leq H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}) + H_\alpha(\mathcal{B})$.

3 Conditional entropy

Definition 3.1 If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are two IF-partitions, then we define the conditional entropy (with respect to a given state m_α)

$$H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}) = (1 - \alpha)H(\mathcal{A}^b|\mathcal{B}^b) + \alpha H(\mathcal{A}^\sharp|\mathcal{B}^\sharp),$$

where H is the conditional entropy of fuzzy partitions (see equation (2)).

Proposition 3.2 If $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ are IF-partitions, then the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) If $\mathcal{B} \leq \mathcal{C}$, then $H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{C}) \leq H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B})$;
- (ii) $H_\alpha(\mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{C}|\mathcal{A}) = H_\alpha(\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{A}) + H_\alpha(\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{(\mu_{A_1}, \nu_{A_1}), \dots, (\mu_{A_k}, \nu_{A_k})\}$, $\mathcal{B} = \{(\mu_{B_1}, \nu_{B_1}), \dots, (\mu_{B_l}, \nu_{B_l})\}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{(\mu_{C_1}, \nu_{C_1}), \dots, (\mu_{C_m}, \nu_{C_m})\}$. Since $\mathcal{B} \leq \mathcal{C}$, there exists a partition $\{I(1), \dots, I(l)\}$ of the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu_{B_j}, \nu_{B_j}) &= \bigoplus_{t \in I(j)} (\mu_{C_t}, \nu_{C_t}) = \\
&= \left(\sum_{t \in I(j)} \mu_{C_t}, \sum_{t \in I(j)} \nu_{C_t} - (|I(j)| - 1) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, l$. Therefore

$$\mu_{B_j} = \sum_{t \in I(j)} \mu_{C_t}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \nu_{B_j} &= 1 - \sum_{t \in I(j)} \nu_{C_t} + |I(j)| - 1 = \\
&= \sum_{t \in I(j)} (1 - \nu_{C_t})
\end{aligned}$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, l$. So we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}^b = \{\mu_{B_1}, \dots, \mu_{B_l}\} \leq \{\mu_{C_1}, \dots, \mu_{C_m}\} = \mathcal{C}^b$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}^\sharp &= \{1 - \nu_{B_1}, \dots, 1 - \nu_{B_l}\} \leq \\
&\leq \{1 - \nu_{C_1}, \dots, 1 - \nu_{C_m}\} = \mathcal{C}^\sharp.
\end{aligned}$$

By [12]

$$\begin{aligned}
H(\mathcal{A}^b|\mathcal{C}^b) &\leq H(\mathcal{A}^b|\mathcal{B}^b) \quad \text{and} \\
H(\mathcal{A}^\sharp|\mathcal{C}^\sharp) &\leq H(\mathcal{A}^\sharp|\mathcal{B}^\sharp)
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{C}) &= (1 - \alpha)H(\mathcal{A}^b|\mathcal{C}^b) + \alpha H(\mathcal{A}^\sharp|\mathcal{C}^\sharp) \leq \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha)H(\mathcal{A}^b|\mathcal{B}^b) + \alpha H(\mathcal{A}^\sharp|\mathcal{B}^\sharp) = H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, since

$$H(\mathcal{B}^b \vee \mathcal{C}^b|\mathcal{A}^b) = H(\mathcal{B}^b|\mathcal{A}^b) + H(\mathcal{C}^b|\mathcal{B}^b \vee \mathcal{A}^b)$$

and

$$H(\mathcal{B}^\sharp \vee \mathcal{C}^\sharp|\mathcal{A}^\sharp) = H(\mathcal{B}^\sharp|\mathcal{A}^\sharp) + H^\sharp(\mathcal{C}^\sharp|\mathcal{B}^\sharp \vee \mathcal{A}^\sharp)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\alpha(\mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{C}|\mathcal{A}) &= \\
&= (1 - \alpha)H(\mathcal{B}^b \vee \mathcal{C}^b|\mathcal{A}^b) + \alpha H(\mathcal{B}^\sharp \vee \mathcal{C}^\sharp|\mathcal{A}^\sharp) = \\
&= (1 - \alpha)H(\mathcal{B}^b|\mathcal{A}^b) + (1 - \alpha)H(\mathcal{C}^b|\mathcal{B}^b \vee \mathcal{A}^b) + \\
&\quad + \alpha H(\mathcal{B}^\sharp|\mathcal{A}^\sharp) + \alpha H^\sharp(\mathcal{C}^\sharp|\mathcal{B}^\sharp \vee \mathcal{A}^\sharp) = \\
&= H_\alpha(\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{A}) + H_\alpha(\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{A}).
\end{aligned}$$

4 Entropy on IF-dynamical systems

Proposition 4.1 For any IF-partition \mathcal{A} there exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\alpha \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i(\mathcal{A}) \right).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.5 $H_\alpha(\mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{C}) \leq H_\alpha(\mathcal{B}) + H_\alpha(\mathcal{C})$ for any IF-partitions \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} . Put $a_n = H_\alpha \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i(\mathcal{A}) \right)$ for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $a_{n+m} \leq a_n + a_m$ for every $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$ and this property guarantees the existence of limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\alpha \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i(\mathcal{A}) \right).$$

Definition 4.2 For every IF-partition \mathcal{A} we define

$$h_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\alpha \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i(\mathcal{A}) \right)$$

and, if $G \subset \mathcal{M}$ is an arbitrary set, then the entropy of IF-dynamical system $(\mathcal{F}, m_\alpha, \tau)$ is

$$Gh_\alpha(\tau) = \sup \{ h_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau); \mathcal{A} \text{ is an IF-partition, } \mathcal{A} \subset G \}.$$

Example 4.3 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, P, T)$ be a dynamical system, $\tau((\mu_A, \nu_A)) = (\mu_A \circ T, \nu_A \circ T)$, $G = \{(\chi_A, 1 - \chi_A); A \in \mathcal{S}\}$. Then the entropy of IF-dynamical system $(\mathcal{F}, m_\alpha, \tau)$ $Gh_\alpha(\tau) = h(T)$ is the Kolmogorov-Sinaj entropy.

Since

$$h(\mathcal{A}^b, \tau) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i(\mathcal{A}^b) \right) \quad \text{and}$$

$$h(\mathcal{A}^\sharp, \tau) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i(\mathcal{A}^\sharp) \right),$$

then we have

$$h_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau) = (1 - \alpha)h(\mathcal{A}^b, \tau) + \alpha h(\mathcal{A}^\sharp, \tau).$$

Theorem 4.4 Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_t\}$ be a measurable partition of Ω being a generator, i.e. $\sigma \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \tau^i(\mathcal{C}) \right) = \mathcal{S}$. Then for every IF-partition $\mathcal{A} = \{(\mu_{A_1}, \nu_{A_1}), \dots, (\mu_{A_k}, \nu_{A_k})\}$ there holds

$$h_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau) \leq h_\alpha(\mathcal{C}, \tau) + \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (1 - \alpha)\varphi(\mu_{A_i}) + \alpha\varphi(1 - \nu_{A_i}) \right) dP.$$

Proof. See [5].

Of course this IF-entropy has the following defect.

Proposition 4.5 Let $G = \{(\mu, 1 - \mu); \mu(\omega) = c \in [0, 1] \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, then

$$Gh_\alpha(\tau) = \infty.$$

Proof. Put $\mathcal{A} = \{(\frac{1}{k}, 1 - \frac{1}{k}), \dots, (\frac{1}{k}, 1 - \frac{1}{k})\}$, where $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $\mathcal{A}^b = \mathcal{A}^\sharp = \{1/k, \dots, 1/k\}$ and

$$\mathcal{A}^b \vee \tau(\mathcal{A}^b) = \mathcal{A}^\sharp \vee \tau(\mathcal{A}^\sharp) = \{1/k^2, \dots, 1/k^2\},$$

hence

$$H(\mathcal{A}^b \vee \tau(\mathcal{A}^b)) = H(\mathcal{A}^\sharp \vee \tau(\mathcal{A}^\sharp)) = - \sum_{i=1}^{k^2} \frac{1}{k^2} \log \frac{1}{k^2} = 2 \log k,$$

and

$$H_\alpha(\mathcal{A} \vee \tau(\mathcal{A})) = 2 \log k.$$

Similarly

$$H_\alpha \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i(\mathcal{A}) \right) = n \log k,$$

hence

$$h_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau) = \log k.$$

Since $k \in \mathbf{N}$ was arbitrary, we obtain $Gh_\alpha(\tau) \geq \log k$ for every k . Therefore $Gh_\alpha(\tau) = \infty$.

To eliminate this defect we used the Maličký-Riečan modification of the notion of entropy (see [12]).

5 Maličký-Riečan entropy on IF-dynamical systems

Definition 5.1 Let \mathcal{A} be an IF-partition. Then we define its Maličký-Riečan entropy by the formula

$$H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau(\mathcal{A}), \dots, \tau^k(\mathcal{A})) = \inf \{ H_\alpha(\mathcal{C}); \mathcal{C} \geq \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C} \geq \tau(\mathcal{A}), \dots, \mathcal{C} \geq \tau^k(\mathcal{A}) \}.$$

Proposition 5.2 There exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau(\mathcal{A}), \dots, \tau^{n-1}(\mathcal{A})).$$

Proof. Put

$$a_n = H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau(\mathcal{A}), \dots, \tau^{n-1}(\mathcal{A})).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+m} &= H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau(\mathcal{A}), \dots, \tau^{n+m-1}(\mathcal{A})) \leq \\ &\leq H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau(\mathcal{A}), \dots, \tau^{n-1}(\mathcal{A})) + \\ &+ H_\alpha(\tau^n(\mathcal{A}), \tau^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}), \dots, \tau^{n+m-1}(\mathcal{A})) = \\ &H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau(\mathcal{A}), \dots, \tau^{n-1}(\mathcal{A})) + \end{aligned}$$

$$+H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau(\mathcal{A}), \dots, \tau^{m-1}(\mathcal{A})) = a_n + a_m.$$

This property guarantees existence of

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau(\mathcal{A}), \dots, \tau^{n-1}(\mathcal{A})).$$

Definition 5.3 For an IF-partition \mathcal{A} define the entropy

$$\overline{h}_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau(\mathcal{A}), \dots, \tau^{n-1}(\mathcal{A})),$$

and for arbitrary $G \subset \mathcal{F}$ the Maličký-Riečan entropy of an IF-dynamical system $(\mathcal{F}, m_\alpha, \tau)$ by the formula

$${}_G \overline{h}_\alpha(\tau) = \sup\{\overline{h}_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau); \mathcal{A} \text{ is an IF-partition, } \mathcal{A} \subset G\}.$$

Proposition 5.4 It holds $h(T) \leq {}_G \overline{h}_\alpha(\tau) \leq {}_G h_\alpha(\tau)$ if $G = \{(\chi_E, 1 - \chi_E); E \in \mathcal{S}\}$.

Proof. If \mathcal{A} is an IF-partition, then by Proposition 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &\leq \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i(\mathcal{A}), \quad \tau(\mathcal{A}) \leq \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i(\mathcal{A}), \quad \dots \\ &\dots, \tau^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i(\mathcal{A}), \end{aligned}$$

hence $H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau(\mathcal{A}), \dots, \tau^{n-1}(\mathcal{A})) \leq H_\alpha\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i(\mathcal{A})\right)$ and ${}_G \overline{h}_\alpha(\tau) \leq {}_G h_\alpha(\tau)$.

If $G = \{(\chi_E, 1 - \chi_E); E \in \mathcal{S}\}$, then for every crisp partition \mathcal{A} the relations $\mathcal{A} \leq \mathcal{C}, \tau(\mathcal{A}) \leq \mathcal{C}, \dots, \tau^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \mathcal{C}$ imply $\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i(\mathcal{A}) \leq \mathcal{C}$.

Hence $H_\alpha\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i(\mathcal{A})\right) \leq H_\alpha(\mathcal{C})$, and $h_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau) \leq \overline{h}_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$, and $h(T) \leq {}_G \overline{h}_\alpha(\tau)$ (see Example 4.3).

Theorem 5.5 Let G consists of all IF-events of the form $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(\chi_{E_i}, 1 - \chi_{E_i})$, where $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ is a set partition of Ω and $a_i \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbf{Q}$. Then $\overline{h}_G(\tau) = h(T)$.

Proof. It suffices to prove $\overline{h}_G(\tau) \leq h(T)$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{(\mu_{A_1}, \nu_{A_1}), \dots, (\mu_{A_m}, \nu_{A_m})\}$ be an IF-partition. Every $(\mu_{A_j}, \nu_{A_j}); j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ is of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} a_{ij}(\chi_{E_i}, 1 - \chi_{E_i}),$$

where $a_{ij} \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ is a set partition. There are natural s_{ij} and integers $p_{ij} \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{ij}\}$ such that $a_{ij} = p_{ij}/s_{ij}$. Let s be the smallest common multiple of all $s_{ij}; i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. There are integers $r_{ij} \in \{0, 1, \dots, s\}$ such that $a_{ij} = r_{ij}/s$. Denote by \mathcal{B}_n the set partition

$$\mathcal{B} \vee T^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \vee \dots \vee T^{-(n-1)}(\mathcal{B}),$$

which consists of some measurable sets $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$. Let A_{ij} be an IF-event defined by the formula

$$A_{ij} = \frac{1}{s}(\chi_{E_i}, 1 - \chi_{E_i}); i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m.$$

If $\mathcal{A}_n = \{A_{ij}; i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m\}$, then $\mathcal{A}_n \geq \tau^i(\mathcal{A})$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau(\mathcal{A}), \dots, \tau^{n-1}(\mathcal{A})) &\leq H(\mathcal{A}_n) = \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{P(U_j)}{s} \log \frac{P(U_j)}{s} = \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^k s \frac{P(U_j)}{s} (\log P(U_j) - \log s) = \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^k P(U_j) \log P(U_j) + \sum_{j=1}^k P(U_j) \log s = \\ &= \log s - \sum_{j=1}^k P(U_j) \log P(U_j) = \log s + H(\mathcal{B}_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since s does not depend on n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{h}_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \tau(\mathcal{A}), \dots, \tau^{n-1}(\mathcal{A})) \leq \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\log s}{n} + \frac{1}{n} H(\mathcal{B}_n) \right) = \\ &= 0 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}(\mathcal{B}) \right) = h(\mathcal{B}, T). \end{aligned}$$

This implies the inequality $G\overline{h_\alpha}(\tau) \leq h(T)$ and the equality $G\overline{h_\alpha}(\tau) = h(T)$.

Acknowledgements

The paper was supported by Grant VEGA 1/0539/08.

References

- [1] K. Atanassov (1999). Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets: Theory and Applications. In *Physica Verlag, New York*.
- [2] D. Dumitrescu (1995). Entropy of fuzzy dynamical systems. In *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, volume 70, pages 45-57.
- [3] D. Dumitrescu (1993). Fuzzy measures and the entropy of fuzzy partitions. In *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, volume 176, pages 359-373.
- [4] M. Ďurica (2007). Entropy on IF-events. In *Notes on IFS*, submitted.
- [5] M. Ďurica (2007). Hudetz entropy on IF-dynamical systems. In *Entropy*, Special Issue: "Quantum Spaces: Where Locality Is not Necessary, Causality Might not Be, but Entropy Certainly Is", submitted.
- [6] P. Grzegorzewski, E. Mrowka (2002). Probability of intuitionistic fuzzy events. In *Soft Methods in Probability, Statistics and Data Analysis, Physica Verlag, New York*, pages 105-115.
- [7] M. Renčová, B. Riečan (2006). Probability on IF-sets: an elementary approach. In *First International Workshop on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Generalized Nets and Knowledge Engineering, London: University of Westminster*, pages 8-17.
- [8] B. Riečan (2006). On a problem of Radko Mesiar: general form of IF-probabilities. In *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, volume 152, pages 1485-1490.
- [9] B. Riečan (2005). On the entropy of IF dynamical systems. In *Proceedings of the Fifth International workshop on IFS and Generalized Nets, Warsaw, Poland*, pages 328-336.
- [10] B. Riečan (2004). Representation of probabilities on IFS events. In *Advances in Soft Computing, Soft Methodology and Random Information Systems, Springer, Berlin*, pages 243-246.
- [11] B. Riečan, D. Mundici (2002). Probability on MV-algebras. In *Handbook of Measure Theory (E. Pap ed.)*, Amsterdam, pages 869-909.
- [12] B. Riečan, T. Neubrunn (1997). Integral, Measure and Ordering. In *Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht and Ister Science, Bratislava*.